Cole 1200 mating

OFFICIAL REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS /26/6 F

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DOCKET NO.

In the Matter of:

MEETING OF NATIONAL PETROLBUM COMMOIL

Place Washington, D. C.

Date January 25, 1968

Bords 1 72

WARD & PAUL, ING.
OFFICIAL REFORTERS
25 K STREET, N. 6
Wishington, D. C. 20002
(202) 828,4201

## $\underline{C}$ $\underline{O}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{T}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{T}$ $\underline{S}$

STATEMENT OF: (Cont'd)	PAGE
Admiral Onnie P. Lattu,	
Director, Office of Oil and Gas,	
Department of the Interior	66

MA	bd bd		CONFIDENTIAL
	8-4266	1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
:	202) 62	2	MEETING OF NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL
	Phone (Ares 202) 628-4266	3	-
	Phone	4	Conference Room A and B
		5	Departmental Auditorium Department of the Interior
		6	Washington, D. C. Thursday, January 25, 1968
-		7	The meeting was convened at 9:30 a.m., J. C. Donnell, II,
		8	Chairman, National Petroleum Council, presiding.
1	. •	9	PARTICIPANTS PRESENT:
		10	Jack H. Abernathy,
		11	Big Chief Drilling Company
<u>۔</u> چا	12	Earl Baldridge, Champlin Petroleum Company	
(	WARD & PAUL	13	Perry R. Bass
	WARD	14	Fort Worth, Texas
١		15	Elmer E. Batzell, Washington, D. C.
٠		16	Carroll M. Bennett
		17	Texas Pacific Oil Company
		18	Fred E. Bergfors, Sr., Quincy Oil Company
		19	Harold H. Bible
,		20	Lion Oil Company
25 K Street; N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002	D.C. 200	21	W. T. Blackburn, Rocky Mountain Oil & Gas Assn.
	22	Jacob Blaustein,	
, Washi		23	American Trading and Production Corporation
	treet, N.£	24	H. D. Borger, Consolidated Natural Gas Company
	25 ik si	25	
	•		
	-		41

. 25

2		Howard Boyd,
3		El Paso Natural Gas Company
4		Reid Brazell, Leonard Refineries, Inc.
5		E. D. Brockett, Gulf Oil Corporation
6		our or corporation
7		Bruce K. Brown, International Trade Mart
8		George H. Bruce National Stripper Well Assn.
9	1	and the control of t The control of the control of
10		E. O. Buck, National Bank of Commerce
11	-	John L. Burns
12		Cities Service Company
13	·	Robert A. Buschman, American Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors
14		Sam H. Casey,
15		Commonwealth Oil & Refining Company, Inc.
16		Boyce R. Clark, National Tank Truck Carriers, Inc.
17		J. C. Donnell II, Marathon Oil Company
- 18		
19		Buell G. Duncan, nat present American Gas Association
20		Frank O. Elliott, Elliott Production Company
21		
22		Elmer R. Erickson, Northwestern Refining Company
23		William A. Fluhr, National Oil Jobbers Council, Inc.
24		Robert L. Foree,

Dallas, Texas

PARTICIPANTS PRESENT (Cont'd):

Independent Oil & Gas Producers of California

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America

James A. Ransford, for George F. Getty II,

The Louisiana Land and Exploration Company

Luke W. Finlay, for Michael L. Haider, Standard Oil Company (New Jersey)

Fred L. Hartly (late) Voion Oil Company of Colif.

Pennsylvania Grade Crude Oil Association

C. D. Fentress, for W. W. Keeler

Petroleum Consultant, Washington, D. C.

Texado Inc.

25

H. F. Wagner,

c/o Farmers Union Central Exchange, Inc.

25

## PARTICIPANTS PRESENT (Con't):

J. Ed Warren, New York, New York

Roland A. Shely, for Everett F. Wells, Ashland Oil & Refining Company

John H. White, Port Oil Company

J. H. Williams, Williams Brothers Company

John G. Winger, The Chase Manhattan Bank

M. A. Wright, Humble Oil & Refining Company

អ

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: The meeting of the National Petroleum Council will please come to order. The Secretary will read the roll.

(Thereupon, Vincent M. Brown, Secretary-Treasurer, called the roll.)

MR. BROWN: A quorum is present.

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Thank you. You have received the minutes of the last meeting of the Council on July 13, 1967. If there are no correction or objection they will be approved as submitted to you.

Since the last of the Council one of our members has passed on, and I would like to ask Glenn Nielson to read a resolution.

READING OF RESOLUTION IN MEMORIAM OF MAURICE
HENRY "BUD" ROBINEAU BY MR. GLENN NIELSON

MR. NIELSON: The members of the National Petroleum Council, with a sense of most profound sorrow, note the passing on August 18, 1967, at the age of 67, of one of the Charter members of the National Petroleum Council and an outstanding figurefigure in the oil industry, Maurice Henry "Bud" Robineau.

"Bud" Robineau was born in Syracuse, New York, July 29, 1900. His father, Samuel, was a naturalized United States citizen from Paris, France, and his mother Adelaide Alsop Robineau, gained recognition as the first American to win

1.5

international attention as a ceramic artist. He attended public schools in Syracuse.

In April, 1917 he left high school to join a Syracuse University Hospital Unit and served in the First World War as an ambulance and hospital orderly on the Western Front and in England. After the War ended, "Bud" returned to school, obtained his high school diploma and attended Syracuse and Cornell Universities.

He was graduated from the Colorado School of Mines with a mining engineering degree in 1923.

Mr. Robineau was employed as a field sales representative by the Texas Company after graduation from college. In the summer of 1924, he resigned his Texaco position and, with others, formed an organization that later became known as the Western Nebraska Oil Company. One year later he became sole owner of the fledgling enterprise based in Sidney and Lodgepole, Nebraska.

Subsequently he joined with Mr. Bay in founding the Bay Petroleum Corporation and became its president in 1932, a position he held until 1939, when he and his family agreed to accept the Cheyenne refinery, the old Western Nebraska Oil Company's outlets, and other marketing facilities in Wyoming, along with crude supply contracts, in exchange for their stock in Bay Petroleum Corporation.

On June 12, 1940, Robineau, in conjunction with Roland V. Rodman and Bard Ferrall, incorporated The Fronti

Refining Company and he served as its president until his death. "Bud" built Frontier Refining into the largest independent refining and marketing company in the intermountain area, with operations in 19 Western States and the Province of Saskatchewan.

The company has a raring horse and rider as its trade mark, with a motto of "Rarin' to Go", which not only is the motto of the Frontier Refining Company but was axiomatic of "Bud", who led a dynamic life in both the oil industry and athletics where he enjoyed the association and competition with national and international tennis champions.

Maurice Henry Robineau was a Charter member of this Council, having been appointed by the Secretary of the Interior on June 18, 1946.

He served as a member of the Appointment Committee from January, 1948 until the time of his death and served as a member of 21 study committees and subcommittees of the National Petroleum Council from 1946 to 1967.

tribute to the memory of a dedicated servant of the American people, a leader in the petroleum industry, a renowed athlete, and an esteemed friend. With others whose—lives he touched, we join in mourning his loss and paying tribute to his memory, and in extending to his wife, Fannabelle; sons, Rodney, Rick, and Michael; and his daughter, Germaine Garcia, our deep and sincere

-1

sympathy.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this the 25th day of January, 1968, that this resolution be entered upon the permanent records of the Council, and an appropriate copy thereof be delivered to the family of Maurice Henry "Bud" Robineau as a remembrance of the love, admiration, and respect which we shall always have for him.

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Shall we adopt this by rising.

(Everyone rose.)

MR. NIELSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: On December 8, 1967, Alfred Jacobson passed away in New York City. Perhaps no other Council member ever believed in the Council and its value more than Alfred Jacobson. He was a charter member of this body and remained a member for 19 years. And for fourteen and one-half years he was Chairman of the Agenda Committee.

In order that we may prepare an appropriate memorial resolution to our wonderful colleague I would like to ask the following members to serve on a small committee for this purpose: Bruce Brown, Mike Haider, Jake Hamon, Howard Marshall.

Our co-Chairman, Secretary of the Interior, Stewart Udall, is unable to be with us today. We are very happy, however, to have the Under Secretary of the Interior, David S. Black, attend this meeting. Mr. Black was appointed by President Johnson to his present position on July 20, 1967.

From the fall of 1966 to the time of this recent appointment, he was the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration. As most of you recall, Mr. Black served as a Federal Power Commissioner from July, 1963 to September, 1966.

He is a lawyer and a native of Washington State.

I am pleased to present to you the Under Secretary of the Interior, the Honorable David S. Black.

(Applause.)

STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE DAVID S. BLACK, UNDER SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

MR. BLACK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Governor
McKeithen, Jack Abernathy, Secretary Moore, Jake Hamon, distinguished guests, gentlemen, I am very delighted to be able
to stand in for Secretary Udall this morning. It is always a
disappointment when a distinguished group assembles, that the
top man is unable to be present, and the benefits of this frequently inure to the Under Secretary. The Secretary is in New
York today, and all day and this evening, in connection with
meetings with Governor Rockefeller and Lawrence Rockefeller in
connection with some important conservation matters, and he
extends to you his disappointment that he cannot be here and
his compliments.

As it was just noted, I was a member of the Federal Power Commission for about three years, and while I have had communication with some of you in that capacity, I am afraid

5.

that my reputation to most of you has been gleaned from the trade press which was not always wildly enthusiastic. But, that's the nature of the regulatory beast, I guess, and I hope that you will look through fresh eyes.

Regardless of how it dealt with individual operators in the oil business, there is no doubt that 1967 was a year to remember. By and large it was a good year for the oil industry, with domestic production of both oil and gas up strongly from the year before to record high levels — and this would have been true even had there been no crisis in the Middle East. Wellhead revenue from crude oil and natural gas liquids reached a new high of ten billion six hundred million dollars. Two billion nine hundred million dollars was realized by producers and royalty owners from the sale of natural gas. Combined, the revenue from these two sources was \$13-1/2 billion, an increase of one billion dollars over 1966.

But 1967 will be remembered principally as a year of proving and testing. The events of last summer provided a rigorous test of the strength, the resourcefulness, and the resiliency of the world petroleum industry, in which American companies played such a dominant role. To the great credit of these companies, order and continuity in world petroleum supply were quickly restored with a minimum of participation by governmental agencies and without the need for applying formal schedules to production and transportation.

There was a test also of the vigor and productive capacity of the domestic industry. The response of domestic producers to the disruption of normal supply patterns was a rapid increase in production to a rate of a million barrels a day above the pre-crisis levels, and I would stress that the limits of this expansion were set by demand, not by supply. At the peak producing rates of 9.4 million barrels a day for crude oil achieved in August, there were 183 major fields in Texas and Louisiana which still had reserve productive capacity Had a more serious situation demanded additional production, it could have been made available.

The response of our domestic producers proved the wisdom of our national policy of relying principally upon sources here at home for our oil supply. The shutdown of production and transportation facilities in the Middle East and in Africa last June interrupted -- for what fortunately turned out to be a brief period -- approximately two-thirds of the oil supply of Western Europe, and the continued closure of the Suez Canal is still costing European consumers millions of dollars a month in added transportation charges. In contrast, less than 3 percent of our own oil supply was affected, and domestic producers in addition to replacing this amount were able to provide 25 million barrels of crude oil to Eastern Canada and the nations of Western Europe to help alleviate the supply problems they experienced.

The year 1967 also proved something else; namely, that good discoveries of oil can still be made here in the United States by those who look in the right places.

When these discoveries are fully evaluated, 1967 may look like a very good year for onshore oil discoveries.

But through all this evidence of the strength, prosperity, and prospects of the domestic oil industry there runs a curious strain of pessimism that is most readily apparent from the statistics on drilling.

preliminary totals for the year indicate that drilling -- both exploratory and development -- for 1967, were back
down to what they were in the years right after World War II.

The contrasting trends of drilling and production over the past
three years are especially worth our attention: since 1964,
domestic production of liquid hydrocarbons has increased by
over one and a half million barrels a day, and wellhead revenue
in 1967 from that source \$1-1/2 billion more than it was three
years before.

The Texas market demand factor has gone from 28 percent to 45 percent during the same period, and the average price of crude oil has increased by 4 cents a barrell. This is a long enough period to demonstrate that the improved markets are not just a transitory phenomenon, but have good prospects of continuing. Yet the response has been the extension of a decline in exploratory drilling -- that was already eight years

5:

1.4

in progress -- to levels not seen since 1948. The current decline in drilling, covering the 11 years that have gone by since 1956, has the dubious distinction of being the longest in the history of the oil business.

I should add that this drab performance has been brightened considerably by the strong pickup in drilling activity that has occurred since last summer. After lagging badly behind 1966 for the first six months of 1967 the number of active rotary rigs has advanced steadily to reach a point in December where they exceeded the level for the comparable week a year earlier. This is highly encouraging, and if it continues, could be the harbinger of a turn-around in domestic oil exploration that is long overdue.

Now we have another factor that will be increasingly evident in the affairs of the oil industry, and of every other business that is significantly involved in foreign operations. The President has made known the general terms of his program for reducing the gap in our balance of payments, and although it will be some time before its implications for individual companies become clear, it is obviously going to have an important effect on the investment programs of major oil companies. After making due allowances for the exceptions which have been and will be made, the fact remains that it is the President's clear intent that a large portion of the capital that has been programmed for overseas investment this year by the oil

1,4

industry remain at home.

pects for domestic exploration will depend upon the decisions which must now be made as to how to employ the funds that had originally been ticketed for investment in foreign operations.

Demand is strong, allowables are up, a number of important discoveries have been made and prices have improved -- which in the past have frequently signaled a resumption in exploration effort.

Now an extensive category of competing investment opportunities has been temporarily foreclosed, and there remains the job of assessing the relative attractiveness of the opportunities that remain. And under the new conditions it may well be that many onshore prospects will look better in 1968 than they have in the past several years.

At any rate, I would hope so. If the Nation is to continue its tried and proven policy of relying mainly on domestic sources for its oil, we shall need every barrel we can find over the foreseeable future. Our studies indicate a production requirement of 52 billion barrels of crude oil in the 150 year period ending in 1980 -- roughly half again the amount produced the 15 years ended in 1965 -- and 10 billion barrels more than was added to proved reserves during that period. To provided this amount of oil will require both improvement in recovery of known deposits and the discovery of

11.

21.

new ones. It is to the task of increasing dis overies through added exploration effort that I would like to address some thoughts this morning.

outlook for finding oil in offshore locations, in Alaska, and in deep horizons that there is a tendency to discount the contribution made by the old producing provinces. Without diminishing the importance of the newer areas, it is still true to say that by far the greater portion of the oil that has been found in this country since 1956 has been located in established producing regions. It took a lot more looking by many more prospectors to find it, and the deposits are smaller, on average, than they are in the virgin provinces, but this is where 80 percent of our new discoveries have come from over the past 10 years.

In this regard I want to say that we look upon the Council's upcoming study of future petroleum provinces as one of the most important it has ever undertaken. The two antecedent studies of this nature completed in 1941and 1951 by the American Association of Petroleum Geologists have proved highly prophetic of the significant discoveries that were subsequently made, and no doubt importantly influenced many exploration decisions.

I am delighted that the Association has made its great resources available to the Council for this third assessment of

11.

the potential oil producing regions of the United States.

Until the new assessment is made, however, we shall have to rely upon the best knowledge we presently have of where and how to find oil. And the knowledge we have suggests that we cannot afford to pass up any bets — that we must continue to look in new provinces and in old provinces; at deep horizons and at shallow ones; onshore and offshore.

We can hope for big finds, but we should not disdain the smaller ones, which are likely to account for a growing share of the total oil discovered. Whether an operator runs one rig or a hundred, he has a vital part to play in the success of domestic exploration over the coming years.

Traditionally, the Independent has made most of the discoveries, and the larger companies have put up most of the money for the costly business of petroleum exploration. The singular success that has attended the search for oil in this country has resulted in large measure from these thousands of joint ventures between large and small operators which assured the maximum coverage of available prospect areas.

The index of activity has been paced by the willingness of the larger companies to participate in such ventures through farm-outs and cash contributions which have to compete with the demands of other investment programs worldwide.

Under the conditions which now prevail, I feel it is not out of line to hope for an increase of interest by the

larger companies themselves or through participation in the ventures of independents, then 1968 may indeed become known as the Year of the Turnaround in domestic exploration and drilling oil activity.

The President's program has another aspect which bears importantly upon an area of common interest between us. The problem created by the proposed restrictions on travel abroad resolves itself into one of how we can best accommodate several hundred thousand patriotic but itchy-footed Americans who would still like to go somewhere this summer. I submit that in 1968 the oil industry is likely to get a better response to its travel-promotion efforts than at any time within recent memory.

As chief landlord and warden of our National Park

System, Secretary Udall is professionally and personally enthusiastic about travel in America, and we have noted with pleasure
the petroleum industry's high degree of enthusiasm for it too.

Over the past three years your companies have cooperated generously and open-handedly in the Golden Eagle program, and I would
be remiss indeed if I did not express again the Department's
sincere appreciation for this fine support in our efforts to get
Americans out to enjoy their national parks and recreation areas.

The \$7.00 Golden Eagle Passport is valid for entry to more than
2,500 Federal recreation areas operated by the National Park
Service, Forest Service, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife,
the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Bureau of Land

Management.

tion toward the objective of getting more Americans to see more of America. We are especially hopeful that more coverage can be given the Golden Eagle program through normal advertising media and that additional companies will join those who now sell the Golden Eagle Passport through their credit card systems.

The President's program to reduce travel abroad by

Americans has a very important reverse side to it; namely, the

encouragement of other peoples to come visit America. I am

pleased to note that Mr. Frank Ikard has been asked to serve

on the industry-government Special Task Force on Travel ap
pointed by the President to recommend ways to promote travel in

the United States by people of other nations. I am sure you

all will be hearing more from this committee, for here, too, is

an opportunity for the oil industry to contribute significantly

to a better balance in the

In closing, let me return to the theme of my remarks this morning; namely, that by giving your earnest support to the President's program for preserving the integrity of the dollar, you can help your industry help your country. No one supposes that the measures proposed will be pleasant or easy or that the burden will be distributed with perfect equity. But they are absolutely essential, and the clear call of duty is to get on with them. And in doing so, your industry will have the

opportunity not only to stimulate vacation travel in the United States, but to provide the basis for an expansion in domestic exploratory activity necessary for the continued growth of domestic reserves and production upon which our national security depends.

Gentlemen, it has been a real pleasure to meet with you this morning, and I hope that in the months to come I will have an opportunity for closer communication with many of you.

Thank you very kindly for your attention.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: We are delighted with our next speaker, who comes from the great State of Louisiana, and joins us in our deliberations today. Born in Grayson, Louisiana, John J. McKeithen completed his college and law work at LSU. He was married in 1942 and has six children.

During World War II he rose from the rank of private to first lieutenant with the Army's 77th Infantry Division, and received the Broze Star.

His rise in the political rans of Louisiana was swift and impressive. From 1948 to '52 he was State Representative of Caudwell Parish. In 1954 he was elected Public Service Commissioner and reelected in 1960. In 1964 he was elected Governor of the State, and in November, 1967, he became the first Governor in this century to succeed himself as the Chief Executive of the State of Louisiana.

Last December the Governor was elected Chairman of the Interstate Oil Contract Commission.

It is indeed a pleasure to present the Honorable John
J. McKeithen, Governor of the State of Louisiana.

(Applause.)

STATEMENT OF JOHN J. MC KEITHEN, GOVERNOR
OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

GOVERNOR MC KEITHEN: Mr. Chairman, many distinguished guests, members of this organization which plays such a vital role in virtually every aspect of this Nation's life. I am happy to be here with you today and honored that you have asked me to address you, and hopeful that what I may have to say may in some way be of value to you as you go about your endeavors, but certainly will let you know how we feel about certain problems facing our country today. how we feel about it in the deepest of the Deep Southern States.

Early in my public career I determined that when I bored an audience it would be unknowingly. That is, that I would never take up people's time by lecturing to them on a subject about which they knew more than I did.

In other words, I would aspire to never bring coal 'to Newcastle. And so it is that I shall not talk to you about oil and gas as I suspect that even your newest member knows more about it than I do.

From a purely personal standpoint, I shall mention

that I do hold one, as yet unpublicized record pertaining to your industry; more dry holes have been drilled on land which I own than on land held by any other individual I know now. Whether or not this record is an official one I cannot say, but it is an extremely frustrating one, I can sorrowfully testify to.

Nor can I resist doing a little bragging, you know we are close to Texas, a trait that I know is completely foreign to the oil business. I cannot resist mentioning that Louisiana is the second largest producer of oil and natural gas in our Nation, and that acre for acre the most prolific, by far, producer of oil and gas in this Nation, with an output of over half a billion barrels a year and a production of nearly five trillion cubic feet of natural gas annually.

In fact, statistics shows us that Louisiand supplies about one-eighth of the Nation's total output of oil requirements, and if necessary could increase our oil output to about 900 million barrels a year.

In addition, our proven reserves are increasing, and over the past ten years, better than 50 percent of all new reserves discovered in this country have been found in Louisiana.

All of which goes to prove . . . that the Governor of Louisiana, despite all good intentions, just could not resist carrying a few coals to Newcastle. And so it is that while I hope that you remember my statistics, I also hope that you will

].

forgive me for just a little boasting. I'm afraid that Washington brings out that quality in men and I must admit that in Louisiana we do on rare occasion do a little boasting, but we don't think we quite compare yet to that category with our great sister state to the west, the great State of Texas, which I am told, also leads in that field, too.

It is our country that I want to discuss with you this morning, the Great United States of America, the Great Nation that so many citizens now refer to as THE United States of America, not OUR United States of America, but THE United States of America, as if it were some foreign power here in our midst. Particularly I want to discuss with you love of that country and loyalty to that country, for somehow in some sad way, as we gained access to the heavens and under the sea, and even to the human heart, that portion of us that once reacted at an emotional and spiritual level to this great country of ours has grown tired and out of style, and even old-fashioned.

And this great Nation founded on hope flounders now in despair, it seems.

Now, not that any people could remain at an emotional pitch of fervor and frenzy, but the fact is that neither can a nation remain at the bottom of the emotional well, cannot remain there and survice. For nations, like individuals, have enemies, and despair, is the soft vulnerable underbelly of both men and nations. Despair is a signal, to those seeking that signal, that

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fervor is slumbering, that hope is on sabbatical leave and that patriotism is relegated to parades and 4th of July speeches, that Americans no longer believe, no longer believe in America.

The fires that had once burned so brightly within us have become smoldering ashes that virtually smothered us. The adrenalin of love of country, has been watered down into a concoction of weak tea that could neither inspire us or sustain us; it is as if the entire Nation has hung its head.

Have we simply grown tired of it all, all that was so That was hard to believe as any child's history hard to acquire? book shows that we are so very young as a nation. If we were Had defeat. tired it certainly was not the weariness of old age. some place along the way of time, sapped our energies, stilled the magnificent quest upon which we had set? This did not follow, for as a nation we had lost no wars, and for the first time in the annals of nations, as victors we had demanded no tribute. In fact, our course was to build up what we had been forced to strike down, and it is interesting to note here that Germany and Japan, with thriving booming economy, are eloquent proof that we are a people who do not know the meaning of revenge or the ancient sickness of vendetta.

Perhaps history held some other lesson for us and there were those who advanced the theory that despair was merely the natural watering place for a nation as it traversed history and as it traversed time. We cannot accept this theory, despair

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

might well serve as the starting point, even the motivation for a nation's birth as men sought escape from it, a better or more free life. But even in the birth of a country despair was not the single or even primary factor. For while man wept because he could not worship in the manner that pleased him, or cried out because he could not earn a living to sustain his family, this nation could not and would not have come into being if he had stopped at the wailing wall. But, thank God, neither our founding fathers nor those who came after them, did this. utilized the wretchedness of their very existence as a motivation and as a factor that stirred them not down but stirred them up and on, on from debtor's prisons and ghettos and membership in the wrong church or the wrong political party; on from a homeland that most would never see again and loved ones who from that moment on would be nothing but precious memories.

Some died on the long journey here, for them the impossible dream remained just that; some died here in the winter and never saw the trees blossom; and some died defending this new land which they did not even yet own and might never own. It is all too easy to forget them and to forget their sacrifice, for in most cases history does not even record their names, or even their deeds. Let us simply remember them as Americans, let us simply recall their deeds, as establishing America and above all, let us never forget that if they despaired they did not tear down, but built up; that if they did not find exactly what

1.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they had set out to find they knew that their children could find it. They never lost faith in their country and they never lost hope in her and their tomorrows.

We submit that it is the manifestations of this despair if allowed to go unchecked, could mean the destruction of what many Americans have died for, what many of you in this room have fought for, and what young Americans are fighting for at this very moment.

What are these manifestations of despair, these tangible proofs of a people not keeping faith with yesterday, relegating the sacrifices of the past to history's junk heap? Certainly no fair man could classify the burning of one's draft card, or urging that the act be done, with faith in or hope for one's country. Yet, we have witnessed this act time and time again, we have seen it applauded, and we have seen those who opposed it branded as bigots, or worse. Learned men assured us that the act was a certmony of self-expression, youth expressing itself, even having a good time. All, we were patiently told, the privileges of youth. Our Government was caught in the same dilemma as the most humble citizen and for months our Government could not react except to note this phenomena and timidly shake its head. We, who feared no nation on earth, seemingly stood afraid before anarchy within our own borders.

Perhaps we can excuse Government for its inaction for, indeed, the act itself was a new one in our midst. The residues

5.

of the McCarthy era had left behind an atmosphere wherein men and Government checked themselves before using words like "disloyalty" or "treason".

Then came the flag burners, the tearing apart or setting afire of this Nation's Flag and some of us, who could expect the cynical title of sentimentalists, remembered a hill on Iwo Jima and a handful of Marines went through hell itself to place Old Glory on that hill. If that memory, or one even more personal perhaps experienced by one's own self in combat, moved us to protest desecration of our flag, again we were fed the soothing tranquilizer "just youth". Society seemed more bent on offering wrongdoers an "out" than on punishing those who broke the law. We rationalized for everyone and guilt became the exclusive property of psychiatrist. Rationale became the order of the day for everyone and for everything.

Congress has finally passed legislation against the desecration of our flag; but I cannot be somewhat less than enthused when I remember that this legislation, this legislation which makes it a crime to desecrate our flag, must still stand the test before our own, our own, our own, United States Supreme Court. Let us simply hope we shall see, let us simply say we shall see and we shall hope and we shall pray that this Nation's own courts will not hold unconstitutional an act making it a crime to desecrate our own Nation's flag.

Draft card burning, desecrating our flag, were not

the end of this funeral procession of faith.

Next came the protest marches against our military involvement in Southeast Asia and though Americans were dying there and are dying there right now, and though three Presidents of the United States, one Republican, and two Democrats, with the consent of the United States Senate, had committed our presence there, we were quickly told that the right to dissent, to be against the policy of our Nation, was a basic American right. And we were witnesses to the incredible sight of our Nation's leaders sneaking, like children in a game, into our great cities, to escape the abuse and vituperation heaped upon them by these same citizens who, we were told were dissenting in the American way. Democracy, democracy in this great Nation of ours had become the victim of her own benefactors.

No one dared to mention the phidsophy of an earlier generation. It was dismissed as too simplistic, or worse too patriotic.

I submit that it is exactly right and of course, you and I know what it is, my country, right or wrong, if wrong to make right.

Next came perhaps the saddest thing of all: Men refusing to fight for their country. If that wasn't bad enough, these cowards were looked upon by some as heroes, possessors of some as yet unnamed, undefined philosophy that

3

5

6

8

9

10

1.7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25 K Street, N.E., Washington,

made the act of cowardice the act of heroism. And we were treated to this spectacle of seeing these creatures admired. even put on a pedestal, but I submit a pedestal of absolute shame.

Nor had this sickness that coursed through the veins of this Nation reached its peak. Still to come was violence in our streets and the unbelievable spectacle of firemen and policement doing their duty being shot down while great dialogues were being carried on between state capitols and this very city.

I believe it imperative to here state that these acts were not limited to any race, any creed or color. Those who claim otherwise are themselves the victims of blind prejudice which is as foreign to democracy as the violence which they Let me also note that our Negro fighting men are performing in combat at this very moment alongside their white comrades in arms with heroism that reflects great credit upon them and upon this Nation which has not always been so deserving of that devotion and display of courage.

Now, exactly where has our despair led us? exactly have we in refusal to fight for our country, in carrying the flag of the enemy in parades, even sending and giving moral and financial aid to those who are killing young Americans?

I could probably come up with a fancy name, or answer

big words, technical terminology, but that doesn't mean a thing.

But I have too much respect for my country, and too much love for it, and too much respect for you and far too many memories of those friends I left behind on half forgotten islands in the broad Pacific Ocean. And so I shall call those acts what they are: Disloyalty, which is a polite way of saying treason to these United States of America.

(Applause.) But I say to you who love this Nation, as I do, and who like myself am lucky to be here today, it is a time not for soul searching and not for pondering that which we pondered and not for assuming still more guilt which others tell us to assume, not for despair which is the final resting place of dead civilizations an—useless beings, it is time to be an American in the full and true sense of that word.

And it is past time that we demand that of all other free citizens of these United States of America.

I do not believe that it is asking too much of any man who enjoys to the fullest the legal and legitimate blessings which living in a democracy brings to him, not demanding too much that he do this and thus, the strong must not bend to the will of the weak; the brave must not look to the cowards, the loyal must not look to the disloyal.

Let us know them, let us recognize them, and when they break the law, let us punish them.

For democracy cannot be diffused by the maladjusted,

1.8

torn assunder by the mad, nor injured by those who would willfully do it injury.

This is much too precious a possession to lose. Too

This is much too precious a possession to lose. Too much blood was spilled to establish it and too much blood has been spilled to hold it together and too much blood has been spilled to protect it.

As this great Nation was handed to us by other generations, so do we bear the sacred responsibility of handing it to future generations. This is a noble venture. May it never be said of us or written of us that the weaknesses of a few wrecked the strength of many, and eventually the hope of all mankind.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Thank you very much, Governor.

Our next speaker, who will speak informally to us and off the record, is the Honorable Eugene V. Rostow, the Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs.

Mr. Rostow was born in New York City and, of course, is well known and highly regarded in academic and political circles. He received his law degree from Yale, and prior to that time entered into graduate study at Kings College, Cambridge University. He was a professor of law at the Yale University Law School from 1938 to 1944 and served as Dean of the Law School from 1955 to '65.

11.

1.5

He has authored several books. Mr. Rostow has been an advisor of the United States Department of State for some years prior to his appointment in 1966 as the Under Secretary for Political Affairs.

Following his remarks, he would like to have you ask him any questions you may have on the general subject he is covering.

I am pleased to introduce the Honorable Eugene V. Rostow.

(Applause.)

STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE EUGENE V. ROSTOW,

UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE FOR POLITICAL AFFAIRS

(Discussion off the record.)

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: We are happy to have Admiral Martin with us again. He is, you know, Commander of the Defense Fuel Supply Center.

STATEMENT OF REAR ADMIRAL FOWLER W. MARTIN, COMMANDER, DEFENSE FUEL SUPPLY CENTER.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, I don't know if there is any particular significance in the fact that the last two meetings of this Council seemed to have coincided with international crises. I suppose you wonder if I have anything to add to the Pueblo incident, and I don't, except I think I can say with assurance that it wasn't caused by the

ship running out of fuel.

When I last spoke to you we were just emerging from the Middle East crisis. Aside from the continued closure of the Suez, that emergency is now past in so far as our supply of oil is concerned. The fact that it was met with no disruption to military POL supplies is due in large part to the full cooperation of the oil industry. To have such cooperation extended when emergency adjustments also are required in accompanying supply systems, justifiably merits our sincere thanks.

In the early stages of the emergency we entered into contingency contracts with a number of oil companies calling for emergency deliveries of some six and a half million barrels of product per month. We didn't actually have to activate these contracts. They were instead retained as an insurance policy against possible future contingencies.

As a result of a review of our emergency plans we have since decided not to renew the contracts. Our experience has convinced us that in the event of a future denial situation we can ship to U. S.-Caribbean sources with a minimum impact of military operations. Of course, this would require full industry support and I know if the need should arise again we can count on you.

Turning to a more current problem, it will come as no surprise to hear that military requirements, primarily offshore, are continuing to grow, although no longer at the rate

experienced during fiscal year 1967. That year requirements jumped 45 million barrels, or some 11 percent, due primarily to sharply increased shipments to Southeast Asia. Total military requirements for fiscal year 1968 are some 6.4 million barrels higher than last year, and in fiscal year 69 requirements are expected to climb at least another 4 million barrels.

Looking at performance by individual products quickly shows the bulk of this growth is accounted for by J. P. Ford jet fuel. For this product the growth in requirements for this year and next will be in the order of 4 to 5 million barrels a year. These growing military requirements, coupled with dislocations and civilian oil markets due to the closure of the Suez have made it difficult for us in DFSC at times to obtain full coverage of certain of our worldwide procurements. This has been particularly true of J. P. Ford. I, therefore, appreciate your assistance in making additional product available to us.

Also, you find us receptive at all times to any suggestions you have concerning our operations or procedures that might result in an increase offer of product.

Another matter of current interest which concerns
the DOD decision made last November to lift itself and posed
restriction on imports. That decision was based primarily on
budgetary considerations. DOD felt that it could no longer pay
the high percentage differential required to procure domestic

].

product in order to continue the balance of savings -- the balance of payment savings involved. We are at present incorporating the changes in our procurements and distribution programs required by that decision. We anticipate that the use of the quota will be limited for a time as domestic requirements are already largely covered through June. No action will be taken to import a product that would jeopardize the integrity of contracts already awarded to domestic suppliers.

Thereafter it is planned to use the quota so as to achieve maximum budgetary savings to the Government. I must hasten to add, however, that these plans could change at any moment, as the balance of payments goals for fiscal year 1969, starting 1 July, become more fixed, and I am sure that you are all aware that between the time that the decision was made in November to use the import quota, and as of now, the balance of payments problem has become significantly more difficult.

In conclusion I would like to say a few words about a domestic problem which we share with you in the industry; namely, pollution controls. We have received our sailing orders in this regard from the President and Secretary McNamara.

These orders say loud and clear, "Get with it." In buying over 23 million barrels of heating oils a year for roughly a thousand installations in the United States we are, of course, one of your largest customers. We realize that actions we take affect you to a considerable degree. Let me mention briefly,

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

therefore, some of the ways that we are grappling with the Our most immediate concern are requirements for DOD installations in the cities of New York, Philadelphia, and Chicago, and in his Executive Order the President required Tederal agencies to provide leadership in air pollution matters. Since several large users in these cities have indicated they are switching to burner oils of a 1 per cent fuel content, the Department of Defense decided we certainly would not be leading if we did not also switch to this percentage for the coming We therefore tailored our buys to reflect heating season. In addition to this three-city area, DOD has also this policy. responded to an over-all health, education and welfare requirement to burn the lowest sulphur content fuel that is reasonably available. Maximum sulphur limits have, therefore, been established, aimed at eliminating from our current buys the 25 percent of fuel oil having the highest sulphur content, in our last buy.

For the future we face the problem of meeting the Nation's standards set by HEW for Federal facilities located in the New York, Philadelphia and Chicago areas, and due to come into effect on 1 October 1968.

We are also participating in the development of the so-called phased and orderly air pollution abatement plan for DOD. This plan, which covers 313 military installations in the United States, proposes a five-year program for correcting

1.5

or abating air pollution problems that now exist. This is where we stand on pollution at the moment.

Many decisions of interest to you as well as to us have yet to be made. We don't know where all of these will lead us. I do know, however, from experience that many diffiproblems will be encountered, which will require your full cooperation.

I just have one other comment I would like to make in closing about myself, individually. Some of you may have noticed that I was recently elected as the President of the Navy Federal Credit Union. This doesn't mean that I am abandoning my interest in the oil industry, but I note that the future of careers for some people in the Department of Defense seems to be in the area of banking, and I wanted to get a head start.

## (Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: I would like to introduce next the Honorable J. Cordell Moore, Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

## (Applause.)

STATEMENT OF HONORABLE J. CORDELL MOORE, ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR FOR MINERAL RESOURCES

MR. MOORE: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, we have had some very interesting speeches today. I would like for the record to reflect my appreciation to Governor McKeithen and Mr. Rostow

11.

and to Under Secretary Black. I am sorry they are not here so that they can hear our remarks.

This time of the year is usually reserved for a review of the happenings of the year gone by and a thoughtful look at the months coming up. Any review of 1967, of course, must be built around the events subsequent to the outbreak of hostilities in the Middle East, the cut-off of Arab oil, the closure of the Suez Canal and the pipelines, followed by the magnificent effort put forward by your industry on the domestic and international fronts, to make certain that consumers at home and abroad would be assured of minimum disruption in oil supply.

This effort was so successful, I might add, that the consumer in Europe and in the United States, where the potential impact of these events was the greatest, was hardly aware that the oil supply had been interrupted or in jeopardy.

While industry and Government were coping with the oil emergency, those-closely associated with the problem were also taking the opportunity to look ahead and to draw some obvious conclusions regarding how to go about reducing the impact of any such future disruptions in oil supply.

One obvious conclusion has manifested itself quite clearly: since the closure of the Suez more than 50 tankers ranging from 150,000 to 240,000 deadweight tons have been ordered from European and Japanese shipbuilders, although I should point

- 21

out that construction of these massive tankers was well under way before the Arab-Israeli war, which only served to give fresh impetus to new orders.

The beginnings of the second obvious conclusion ——
the need for greater geographic and political diversification
of supply —— was also with us before the June war, and this in
part was present in the increasing trend toward exploration in
offshore areas, which many quite rightly regard as among
petroleum's brightest frontiers.

I would like to spend a few minutes this morning, then, discussing offshore oil with you, in the context of the larger question of the future of the natural resources under the sea, in the sea-bed and on the ocean floor.

If I were asked to characterize the year 1967, in connotation other than the Middle East crisis, I would have to say that it had been an "offshore" year. We saw rigs operating in virtually every major body of water in the world, from the North Sea to off the shores of Australia, from offshore West Africa to Alaska's Cook Inlet, to name only a few.

Today there are about 75 countries involved in the search for offshore oil, and production of offshore oil and gas is under way or about to begin in 24 countried. Just ten years ago, you could count the number of countries undertaking offshore exploration on the fingers of one hand. To give you an idea of the potential of offshore oil, and the current

1.0

realization of this potential, about 20 percent, or about 70 billion barrels, of the world's total known resources are off-shore; others who look into their crystal ball claim they see at least 700 billion barrels of offshore oil awaiting eventual discovery. Current production in these areas in the Free World is on the order of 5 million barrels daily or roughly 16 percent of total daily output.

The production of gas offshore, currently about 6 percent of the world total, is less significant but gaining momentum, as evidenced last July, when the first North Sea gas flowed onshore in Britain.

Offshore production in the Communist world is minimal, about 250,000 barrels daily, and all of it comes from the Caspian Sea. But with the recent Soviet purchase of a floating drilling platform from Holland, capable of drilling in waters up to 200 feet deep, we expect their offshore capability to substantially increase in the coming years.

It has been predicted that, over the course of the next ten years, the oil industry will invest more than \$25 billion in offshore exploration, in 100 different countries.

This compares with an annual investment today of some \$1 billion. If to this were added the expenditures for offshore leases and production facilities, annual outlays could well double. Another \$1 billion is tied up in offshore drilling equipment at work around the world. Just 11 years ago the count

I

1.3

of offshore mobile rigs was only 25; last year it reached 150.

And we can look forward to probably comparable growth in the rig fleet in the years ahead, for the exploration effort to date represents only a glance at what the Continental Shelves hold in store for us. It is thought that the Continental Shelves cover some 3 or 4 million square miles and already many offshore target areas in the Red Sea, in the North Sea, and in the South Atlantic take precedence over the adjoining land.

is shared on an increasing scale by others. You are all aware of the recovery of sulfur from the sea and I would add that offshore tin is assuming growing importance. The recovery of diamonds off the coast of Southwest Africa is technologically well established. Moreover, discoveries of vast quantities of manganese, cobalt and nickel offer exciting prospects for the future of deep sea mining. To this must be added the interests of the commercial fishing industry, defense, and recreation, not to mention those who have a deep concern regarding the aquatic life of all of the oceans.

The major extensive mineral exploitation of the seas being conducted at this time is offshore oil drilling. Although there has been a long history of offshore oil drilling and production, it has only been in recent years that rapid, meaningful advances in techniques and technology have been made. Yet the deepest water in which we have a producing well at the present time is only 285 feet, and where hard minerals are

.23

concerned, we have not even begun any significant mining from the seabed at comparable depths. Current technology has limited production thus far to depths of about 150 feet. Thus, we are only beginning to realize the extent of the wealth of the seven seas.

And although the seas, some term it the deep seas, hold forth great promise, they still remain a true frontier, for we do not yet know what means will be needed to tap that wealth most efficiently and we know very little about how the ocean's resources are distributed throughout the world.

But one thing we do know, and that is that as the search for oil and hard minerals progresses into deeper waters, it gives rise to political, legal, and economic implications of far-reaching, international import, as well as to very important security considerations.

Guidelines are quite limited; indeed, the major existing guideline, apart from territorial waters, is that contained in the 1958 Geneva Convention on the Colntinental Shelf which provides for the exercise of sovereign rights over adjacent ocean floor to areas to a depth of 200 meters and beyond to the limit of exploitability. In other words, the outer limit of a nation's capability to explore and drill offshore.

Until now this definition has worked well, and it may continue to work well for some time to come if our offshore capability is slow to advance from its present state. It might

-23

be safe to presume for the foreseeable future that any exploration of marine resources will take place on what would be generally regarded as Continental Shelf, which would permit us to postpone indefinitely any decision on the rules for the areas beyond the shelves.

But I feel that the offshore industry has only begun to express its technological capability, that the effort to meet the challenge of this nation's growing energy requirements will bring forth advances of which we only dream today, and that these advances may not be too far away.

It is already feasible to drill beyond the Continental Shelves, in water deeper than 600 feet. Indeed, with recent technological advances, it is possible to drill in water up to 5,000 feet deep. The Department of the Interior, on the basis of the exploitability test, has already granted geologic reconnaisance permits for areas at depths exceeding 5,000 feet. It has granted oil and gas leases for areas at depths of up to 1,500 feet and has granted phosphate leases even beyond that. More important, the Department has indicated an intent to assume jurisdiction over the ocean bottoms as far as 100 miles off the Southern California Coast in water depths as great as 6,000 feet.

While we assess our own capability to exploit the sea, we must be aware that we are just one nation in an international community of 120 or more. These issues at hand have

`23

a great potential for political and emotional appeal, particularly among the developing nations who may view the treasures of the sea as the ultimate answer to their economic problems. For while just a few nations have the capability to carry out oceanographic research and development, many nations have substantial coastlines and it is unlikely that these nations would assent to any proposals which would preempt what they consider to be their own interests.

Continued development of offshore technology brings forward more and more questions as to the effect on deep ocean mineral exploitation of that formulation provided by the Convention on the Continental Shelf. There are those who believe that in the very near future the capability to occupy and appropriate all the deep ocean mineral areas will rest in the hands of a few ocean powers. This has been followed by mounting pressure to make decisions about rights in and uses of the lands beneath the sea, and to make these decisions soon.

The attitude of your government toward the development of mineral resources of the deep ocean floor was well expressed by President Johnson in July, 1966, when he said, and I quote: "Under no circumstances, we believe, must we ever allow the prospects of rich harvest and mineral wealth to create a new form of colonial competition among the maritime nations. We must be careful to avoid a race to grab and hold the lands under the high seas. We must ensure that the deep

seas and the ocean bottoms are, and remain, the legacy of all human beings." End of quote. This means, above all, that the deep ocean floor should not be the stage for competing claims of national sovereignty.

But it was not until the placing of the Malta proposal before the General Assembly of the United Nations last year that the issue of exploitation of minerals under the deep seas became so explosive. The Malta proposal envisaged that an international agency should be granted exclusive rights in the submarine areas involved and it was suggested that a treaty should be drawn up which, among other things, would set aside the seabed and the ocean floor exclusively for peaceful purposes and that the net financial benefits derived from the utilization of the natural resources of the areas in question should be used primarily for the betterment of the developing countries.

Subsequent discussion of this proposal has brought to light a multitude of problems and difficulties, to which the answers are not easily found. This discussion, and you can be assured that there have been some forceful expressions of opinion, has served one major function, if nothing more — it has made clear to many that so little is known of the deep sea. With this lack of knowledge as a background, a display of caution is clearly in order lest we move too far or too quickly. Too much is at stake to allow imprudent and hurried

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision.

Oceans have always been an integral part of our life. We have used them as a means of communication, as a source of food, and they have at times been essential to our security. Now that their importance is taking on an even greater role, we should become more cautious, not less, about arriving at conclusions based on concepts which in turn reflect little more than preconceived notions. The opportunity that our developing technology now promises, to use or abuse the seas and the seabed as man has used and abused the land, may affect our attitude toward the sea in ways that cannot be predicted. We must make every effort to determine that the riches of the sea do not become the subject of colonial competition, as the President has warned. We must make every effort to pass this heritage of ours unspoiled and untrammeled to the next generation, and to others following.

Later on in the program you will hear more about this subject from the Chairman.

I think I can close by saying, with your permission, that most of all we must strive to keep our heads above those waters.

Gentlemen, I am delighted to see you here and I regret that I am going to have to leave, but I appreciate your all being here again and I appreciate your attention, and Admiral, too, will thank you for the report which I understand

2

3

5

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will be presented today.

Thank you all.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Mr. Hamon, the Chairman of the Agenda Committee, will make his report.

AGENDA COMMITTEE REPORT BY JAKE L. HAMON

MR. HAMON: Gentlemen, under date of January 24th, the Honorable J. Cordell Moore addressed a letter to Mr. J. C. Donnell requesting the Council to undertake a study concerning the development of petroleum resources from the ocean floor.

In requesting this study Assistant Secretary Moore stated that the offshore areas were among petroleum's brightest frontiers and in the next decade we should see unprecedented activity in this direction. He points out that as the search progresses into deeper waters, it gives rise to political, legal and economic implications of international import. Therefore, in order to assist the Department of the Interior and other Government agencies in formulating their posture toward development of petroleum resources of the ocean floor, the Petroleum Council is requested to prepared a study which should consider "(a) whether the definition of the Continental Shelf is in keeping with technological advancements in offshore capability, (b) what type of regime would best assure the orderly development of the petroleum resources of the ocean floor and the time frame within which it might be implemented, (c)

2.

3 .,

4

5

6

7

8 :-

9

10

11

12.

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20,

21...

22

23

24

**2**5

-25 K Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002

	what type of regime is best designed to assure conservation
	of the resources and protection of the environment, and (d)
	any other points or comments deemed appropriate." Copy of this
	request letter is attached.
	As provided in the Articles of Organization of the
	Council, this letter was considered at the above-mentioned mee
	ing of the Agenda Committee, at which meeting it was unanimous
	agreed to recommend to the Council the appointment of the ap-
-	propriate committee to make the study as requested by Assistan
	Secretary Moore.
-	By our Agenda Committee yesterday afternoon, it was
	the unanimous feeling that this study should be undertaken. We
	felt it was of tremendous importance and we could be of help
	to the Government in resolving some of these knotty problems.
	Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I move that we undertake
	such a study.
	CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Is there a second to the motion?
•	A MEMBER: I second.
	CHAIRMAN DONNELL: You have heard the motion. Are
	there any comments or discussion?
	(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: If not, as many as favor it will

please say "Aye".

(Chorus of "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Opposed, "No." l

1.5

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Hamon.

We now come to the part of the agenda which is really the business of the Council, and that is the report of the committees which have been studying the various matters referred to us by the Secretary.

The first is that of the Committee on Oil and Gas Transportation Facilities, John Swearingen, Chairman.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON OIL AND GAS
TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES BY JOHN E. SWEARINGEN

MR. SWEARINGEN: Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen, A year ago the Committee on Oil and Gas Transportation Facilities and its five subcommittees were established and began their task. It was our job to make a thorough study to determine current petroleum and gas transportation capacities including natural gas transmission lines, crude oil and petroleum products pipelines, crude oil gathering lines in major surplus production areas, inland waterway barges, tank cars, and tank trucks.

The Committee and its subgroups completed their assignment in nine months, and on September 21 last, Mr. Donnell transmitted to you, for your comments and approval, the proposed final report. The approvals were received from you by October 9 and the final report was issued in mid-October. All of you received copies of it at that time.

I would like to say a word about the content of the

study. In 1962 a comprehensive report on oil and gas transportation facilities and capacities, as of the end of the previous year, was issued by the Council. The current study contains an even more comprehensive review of transportation facilities and updates the data included in the previous NPC report.

Since December 31, 1961, both the number of units and capacities of rail tank cars, petroleum barges, and tank trucks have increased considerably. Also, extensive additions and new construction of oil and gas pipelines have occurred.

In connection with the study of pipelines, additional data were prepared to update the 1962 study by assembling, cataloging, mapping, and indexing detailed information on individual pipeline systems and their pumping stations and river crossings.

Master sets of maps containing this information have been compiled and will be kept in the custody of the Office of Oil and Gas, Department of the Interior, for its use in emergency planning and defense mobilization studies, as well as Emergency Petroleum and Gas Administration test exercises. Detailed data, in machine sensible form, are now being turned over to the Office of Oil and Gas, as well as the National Resources Analysis Center, for storage on computers.

Among other things the report discusses gathering and trunk line bottlenecks and the movement of crude oil from

1.5

Gulf Coast producing areas to meet unusual requirements occasioned by the reduction in crude oil supply from the Middle East and Africa that commenced early in June, 1967. It also discusses the importance of retaining emergency planning for the oil industry, including pipelines, in one Federal agency.

task. I wish to express my appreciation to you members and the people in your respective organization for cooperating with us, both by supplying the information and making available to us top calibre executives and technicians to staff our subcommittees.

To Winston S. Peeler, who served as my assistant in this work, and to each of the following five subcommittee Chairmen, as well as individual members of their groups, the Committee extends its sincere thanks for the extensive time and effort devoted to these assignments, and for the quality of content in each separate report:

The heads of the subcommittees are:

Mr. J. H. Pittinger, who headed our subgroup on Petroleum Pipeline Transportation Facilities;

Mr. S. Orlofsky, Gas Pipeline Transportation;

Mr. George Peterkin, Jr., Inland Waterways Transportation;

Mr. C. H. Wager, Tank Car Transportation; and Mr. S. F. Niness, Tank Truck Transportation.

1.6

Mr. Chairman, I move the acceptance of the final report and request that the Committee on Oil and Gas Transportation Facilities be discharged.

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: As many as favor the motion will please say "Aye".

(Chorus of "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: I am sure the Council is very grateful to you, Mr. Swearingen, and your associates, for this fine study.

MR. SWEARINGEN: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: The next report is that of the Committee on Effects of New Technology on the Petroleum Industry. Unfortunately the Chairman of that Committee, Mr. McCurdy, is unable to be here today and his report will be given by Mr. Hamon.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON EFFECTS OF NEW
TECHNOLOGY ON THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY ON

BEHALF OF RICHARD C. MC CURDY BY JOHN P. HAMON

MR. HAMON: Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee and distinguished guests, at the last meeting of the Council in July, Mr. Richard C. McCurdy presented to you for your consideration and approval a report covering the first part of the committee's assignment. This committee, as Mr. Donnell has pointed out, is Effects of New Technology on the Petroleum

Industry.

At that time you approved the report for publication, subject to any comments or suggestions received from members of the Council during the next 30 days following the meeting. We wish to report today that all appropriate comments have been incorporated and the polished —— I hope it is polished —— manuscript was put into the publishing mechanics in September. While it took a few months to produce, the experts tell us it was achieved in record time for a book of this size.

The volume, "IMPACT OF NEW TECHNOLOGY ON THE U. S.

PETROLEUM INDUSTRY, 1946 - 1965," was released January 15. Many

of you have already seen a copy of this impressive study. In

the event you have not, copies are here in both hard back and

paper binding for your perusal. They will be available up

here.

The authors of this publication were chosen from the top experts in their respective fields in our industry and, consequently, the book is written in technical language. Nevertheless, I believe a large part of the book is understandable to non-technical people who have a general knowledge of producing and refining operations. I am sure that a broad spectrum of your employees will find this book both valuable and interesting and urge that you make it available to them.

Gentlemen, this is part of our sales pitch, but we are not relying entirely upon you.

1.5

In addition to the normal publication distribution program of the Council, it is working in close cooperation with the Society of Petroleum Engineers in Dallas to obtain a widespread distribution of this volume among technical people. This program is proceeding most successfully.

Now, the second portion of the assignment to the committee is to produce a brochure reporting the results of two decades of proud and impressive achievements described in this volume in brief, understandable, colorfully illustrated and interesting story for the general public. We are now searching for technical and journalistic talent to accomplish this task and hope to report considerable progress by the time of the next Council meeting.

I am sorry that Mr. McCurdy could not be here today because of a board meeting of his company; however, this gives us the opportunity to point out that he has closely followed this endeavor and given it much personal attention since its inception, and I am sure that you will agree that he has done an excellent job. In addition, of course, the Vice Chairmen of the parent Committee have assisted greatly.

Particular appreciation should be expressed to the Chairmen of the two Subcommittees, Mr. McCollough and Mr. Spahr, under whose auspices this book was compiled. These are Mr. Donald G. Stevens, Vice President of Standard Oil Company of Ohio, who chaired the Subcommittee for REFINING TECHNOLOGY, and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

report.

1 Mr. Lloyd E. Elkins, Production Research Director of Pan American 2 Petroleum Corporation, who chaired the Subcommittee for 3 PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY. While I didn't work closely with Mr. 4 Stevens, I am certain he did a fine job; I happened to work 5 quite closely with Mr. Elkins and I think he did an outstanding 6 job in organizing and ramrodding this report. We should also give particular recognition to the 8 Council's capable Secretary-Treasurer, Mr. Vincent Brown, who

played a major part in guiding, coordinating, and editing the

Thank you, gentlemen.

(Applause.)

It is not necessary for us to CHAIRMAN DONNELL: approve this report, as we did so at our last meeting. like to add my comment to that of Mr. Hamon, that this is a book which should have reasonably wide circulation among the technical personnel of our industry, and also the Council has a substantial sum invested in the volumes that have been printed, so that I hope that you all will take a good look at how many you should purchase for the members of your organization.

The next report is that of the Committee on the Petroleum and Gas Industries Manpower Requirements, Mr. Stanley Learned, Chairman.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON PETROLEUM AND GAS INDUSTRIES MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS BY MR.

## STANLEY LEARNED.

MR. LEARNED: Mr. Chairman, gentlemen, this is merely a progress report of this committee, for there is a lot of work to be done.

I am going to call briefly, at the close of my short remarks, from a report from our Chairman of the Technical Subcommittee so that you may have a broader view of the work that they are doing in this connection.

That committee is headed on the industry side by Mr. Frank Pearsall, who is manager of the Department on Organization for the Standard Oil of California.

Now, the current Manpower Requirements study is quite expanded from our previous, similar efforts. Data sources are limited and there are going to have to be some questionnaires sent to a number of the companies, and we need your assistance in order to get this report out. We believe if we can get quick replies to the questionnaires that we will definitely have the report completed this summer, and we hope that we can do it in time for the next meeting of the Council.

At this time I would like to call on Mr. Frank Pearsall, Chairman of our Technical Subcommittee.

(Applause.)

MR. PEARSALL: Thank you, Mr. Learned. Mr. Donnell,

1.4

and gentlemen, my report is going to be extremely brief, and
I can only hope that you gentlemen will not attempt to assess
the effort that has gone into this chore by the brevity of
my report.

Just a bit of background, your Technical Subcommittee Chairman, with the support of a very fine membership on the Committee, have divided our chore up into a number of tasks, and your Chairman has just completed a series of one-night stands around the country, calling upon these task forces, reviewing progress problems in an attempt to get our job really on the move.

We are making progress, but I would like to cover just a bit of background on the differences.

Now, our 1967 assignment has very little resemblance to the last similar assignment on manpower. For example, in 1962 the prime data source for inventorying some 700,000 manpower was the 1960 Census. We are a long ways off now and we are trying to find new data sources, sampling processes, without having to go out to the membership with a questionnaire this thick.

We have been asked to bring up to date and to forecast into the future our mass statistics, if you like, on the
manpower utilized in our industry in much greater detail on,
for example, nonexempt skills requiring significant lead time.
Special emphasis has been requested on engineers and scientists

by activity, by professional discipline, degree, location,
this type of thing, and we are also charged with reporting on
U. S. Citizen Manpower engaged in foreign oil and gas operations
by activity, by professional discipline, and by broad geographical areas of the world.

The only source for these data are the oil companies - themselves.

In addition, our assignment includes the gas distribution industry inside of the city gates.

Now, as to progress, this may seem like a very small detail, but the solution to several of our problems stemmed around, or revolved around, our first bringing up to date descriptive material on some six or seven hundred occupations in our industrial. These are official materials used by the agencies in Washington. I would like to report that this was an extensive— a lot of work. It is complete.

Now, all tasks are progressing even though we have many problems remaining. We are working with subcommittee members, we are working with several governmental agencies to solve them.

It may be of interest in the area of engineers and scientists to detail breakdowns. We find that by utilizing industry computer data now available that we will be able to present an excellent profile with respect to engineers and scientists for about 60 per cent of our industry, by activity,

discipline, degree, by distribution, geographically according to the Office of Emergency Planning in eight regions.

We must question—and we think there are at the moment possibly some 30 companies—to obtain adequate profiles on skilled craftsmen, skilled operators, and the like. We must ask the oil companies to respond to questionnaires on this and also on U. S. citizens in foreign activities. We must have this information, and hopefully expeditiously.

Now, as to the gas distribution part of our study,

I wish to give great thanks to Mr. Donnell and Mr. Learned for

giving us three very fine representatives from the gas indus
try. Otherwise, we all would have been lost. We are making

great progress in this area, have no real problems.

We will, in our report this time, give the greatest attention to not only reporting on the present and future manpower, but giving just as much interpretation of these materials as we are capable of, and I would like to say one thing
here: Your technical subcommittee is just finding outstanding
cooperation from a lot of real top-flight people in Government
agencies.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: The next is the progress report of the Committee on Possible Future Petroleum Provinces, Mr.

Otto Miller, Chairman.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON POSSIBLE FUTURE
PETROLEUM PROVINCES BY MR. OTTO N. MILLER.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen  $\int \theta$ ur Committee was formed and appointments were made just last month, so in this brief period we have not been able to schedule a meeting of our Committee members.

However, we have made some progress -- on which I will briefly report to you this morning.

J. Cordell Moore as co-chairman and Dean McGee as vice-chairman.

K. H. Crandall will act as my special assistant and Vincent M.

Brown will be secretary.

Our Committee will be assisted by an advisory committee comprising of between five and ten members who are particularly eminent in geology and exploration. The advisory committee will be chairmaned by Morgan Davis, with William Pecora, Chief Geologist of the U. S. Geological Survey, as cochairman. We hope this committee will be selected and appointed during the month of February.

Their first assignment will be to select members for ten to twelve regional working committees, who will be responsible for carrying on the study within their outlined geological or geographical areas.

The chairmen of these regional committees will be

1.5

membe	ers	of a	COC	ordinatir	ng subcommit	tee	of o	our (	Commi	ittee w	hich
will	ass	sure	the	uniform	collection	of	data	and	its	compil	ation
into	аu	nifo	orm f	Format.				÷		·	•

It is of great importance that we secure a real close degree of coordination between these committees that I have mentioned; that is, our Committee, the advisory committee, coordinating subcommittee and the other regional committees, but very close coordination of these committees and the American Association of Petroleum Geologists and the Department of the Interior, especially the U. S. Geological Survey.

To this end, the coordinating subcommittee will have Mr. J. J. Simmons III, of the Department of the Interior, as co-chairman; and as co-secretaries, Charles Moore, of the National Petroleum Council, and Mr. A. A. Meyerhoff of the AAPG.

I have mentioned that Mr. Pecora, of the USGS, will be co-chairman of the Executive Advisory Committee. Mr. Norman C. Smith, who as you know is Executive Director of the AAPG, will be co-secretary. Additionally, the President of the AAPG will in all probability serve on this committee.

As was mentioned earlier by Secretary Black, the AAPG conducted surveys of possible future producing provinces in 1941 and in 1951. Since these surveys were published, many of the provinces, as was mentioned earlier, have proven productive.

It is hoped and contemplated that this present survey

1.4

1.5

1.6

will, however, be more than just an updating of these previous reports and will survey the future producing potential of our Nation in, you might say, considerable scope and in some depth. The selection of the men to carry out this broad survey and the carrying on of such a broad study and the coordination and publication of its results, of course, is a very sizable task.

Now, in order to accomplish these broad aims, I shall have to call on the assistance of many of you gentlemen, and especially those that are on our Committee, in the securing of adequate personnel and in the making available of pertinent information which will be needed to accomplish a meaningful evaluation of this assignment that has been given to us.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: This is a most important study, but I think that Mr. Miller has given us assurance of the care ful organization and planning that will result in a fine report.

The next report is that of the Committee on Materials
Requirements for Petroleum Exploration and Production, Mr.
Tarkington, Chairman.

COMMITTEE REPORT ON MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

FOR PETROLEUM EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION BY

MR. A. W. TARKINGTON.

MR. TARKINGTON: Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

response to a request by the Honorable J. Cordell Moore, Assistant Secretary of the Interior, the National Petroleum Council on July 13, 1967, approved the formation of a Special Committee to make a study on Materials Requirements for the Petroleum Exploration and Production.

On December 7th Mr. J. C. Donnell II, appointed me chairman of the committee, and at the same time appointed 16 other members to the committee. These members are:

William T. Blackburn

John L. Burns

Robert A. Buschman

Lee F. Osborne

Michael L. Haider

Harold M. McClure, Jr.

Don H. Miller

Ellis Mills

L. T. Potter

Arch H. Rowan

J. L. Sewell

Forrest N. Shumway

E. L. Steiniger

Paul E. Taliaferro

Van Thompson, and

Everett F. Wells.

The Government co-chairman is Admiral Lattu and the committee

1.8

secretary is Maxwell S. McKnight.

The assignment of this committee is to update the 1963 National Petroleum Council report on Essential Materials Requirements for Oil and Gas Exploration, Drilling, and Production. We have been asked general assumptions and engineering ground rules similar to those contained in the NPC's March, 1966 Refining Material Study be developed for use in estimating requirements.

To carry out this assignment we have established a subcommittee composed of 19 members and chaired by Mr. W. O. Ham, Jr, Vice President and General Manager of North American Petroleum Operations of Continental Oil Company.

John Ricca is the Government co-chairman and Maxwell S. McKnight is secretary. The Government has approved these appointments for membership on this working subcommittee and letters formally appointing them to membership have been sent out by Mr. Donnell.

Mr. Ham will be calling a meeting of the subcommittee for an early session.

That's my report, sir.

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: That concludes the reports of the committees. I will next call on Admiral Lattu, the Director in the Office of Oil and Gas, Division of Oil and Gas,

1.0

1.5

1.7

Department of the Interior.

STATEMENT OF ONNIE P. LATTU, DIRECTOR,

OFFICE OF OIL AND GAS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

MR. LATTU: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, on behalf of Secretary Udall, Secretary Cordell, and all the people in the Interior Department, I accept with pleasure and a deep sense of gratitude the two fine reports that have been formally presented this morning. It would be hard for me to name any two other documents that have been prepared which contain more essential information. It took a vast amount of hard, detailed digging to find, assumble, and organize this data into a form that is readily accessible to those in the Government and industry who need it and will make use of it. Both reports will be standard reference works in the literature of the U. S. Petroleum Industry.

The updating of the 1962 Transportation Report gives us a concise assessment of current oil and gas transportation capabilities in the United States, supported by a detailed catalog of facilities available as of the end of June, 1967. Since transportation is a limiting factor in domestic oil and gas availability, this study is critically important to a proper assessment of our capabilities to supply needed amounts of petroleum in an emergency period.

Mr. Chairman, John Swearingen's committee, along with the working subcommittees which prepared the reports on

15.

each transportation phase, I want to say "Thanks for a splendid job." You made a real contribution.

The report on the Effects of New Technology on the United States Petroleum Industry has received so much attention in the oil press and elsewhere over the last few weeks that I am hardput to say anything new about it. It is a professional book that will be available, and will be a valuable addition to any oil company library, yet it is readily understandable by the serious student of oil affairs as well. It breaks new ground in its editorial layout and design.

It is extremely well written, attractively illustrated, and professionally printed. It is by every definition a collector's item and the Council outdid itself in this particular item.

The information account of how technology has influenced the cost and availability of oil and gas in the Mulaud United States since 1945, Mr. Chairman, Dick McCurdy, and to the many, many others who helped him, including particularly John Hamon, to my sincere thanks and appreciation for countless hours of hard work and a truly imaginative approach, that have produced such an outstanding reference work on an important subject. Well done.

Now, earlier this month we had another reminder of how complex and interdependent our modern industrial society has become and how narrow the margin is between conditions of

normal operation and those of an emergency.

On January 10th, after a week of intensely bitter cold weather, the natural gas distributor in Manchester, New Hampshire, ran out of propane for peak savings and drew on the transmission system in excess of great quantities of natural gas to be taken. This caused a drop in pressuring systems with some loss of service to customers as far away as Portland, Maine.

The industry reacted with its usual promptness and competence and service was quickly restored. Luck played a part too. The temperature moderated so that by the 15th normal conditions again prevailed. Meanwhile there was a scramble for anything that could carry propane, by highway or by rail. The propane was in the area at Selkirk, New York, but it was 200 miles away from where it was needed. I am pleased to report that the supply of No. 2 heating oil remained good in New England and elsewhere, throughout the severe cold spells during the first half of January.

Some dealers had unusual difficulties in making deliveries to snowbound customers, but supplies at terminals were more than adequate.

So, we started out the new year with a vest-pocket emergency that was so minor in scope that nobody outside the affected area will even remember it six months form now. But it is this timely kick in the complacency that all of us would

l.

do well to pay attention to. The experience was an instructive one, and a meeting of the principal agents involved to identify the lessons to be learned was held Tuesday of this week.

Looking ahead, it is certain that there will be other emergencies and other crises, large and small, and this was before what happened two days ago.

And now, some of them will occur this year and they will affect at least some of us in this room. No matter how efficient our day-to-day operations are, there always comes a day when the things we count on to happen just don't happen, and some quite unexpected things do happen instead. At that point we are left to deal with the effects of a regional power failure, earthquake, tropical storm, a diplomatic crisis, or a war, and we suddenly find we have great and urgent need for facts, for information, and if the problem is big enough we may need a standby of trained people and an organized structure and a set of procedures under which they can function.

The fact that we have the facts, the information, the people, and the organization and procedures, is largely due to support and the active assistance that we have received from the National Petroleum Council. Over the years you have provided a massive amount of information on the petroleum industry and its capabilities of which the most recent item

1.5

There have been many others on emergency manpower requirements, on refining capabilities, and requirements, on fuel convertibility, to name a few.

You have given the emergency petroleum and gas

Administration a complete set of operating guides and your

support has been instrumental in our being able to fill 500

EPGA key spots with high quality oil and gas executives. We

are indeed grateful for your outstanding contribution to the

Nation's petroleum readiness posture.

You will be interested to know that at the end of next month we shall be conducting an exercise in Region 5 to test the readiness of EPGA organization and personnel in that region to respond to a limited war-type of emergency.

This Peak Test 5 will be conducted in Denton, Texas on February 28th and 29th for approximately 50 Region 5 reservists under the leadership of Carl Bennett, the Regional Administrator. Although the site is regional, the problem will encompass the national capabilities and requirements of oil and gas emergency operations.

Following Peak Test 5 we plan a national test exercise possibly involving nuclear attacks and aerial, and as we get closer to that time, more information on this exercise will be published in a pipeline news letter.

Again, let me thank you for your past support and

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assistance, and request that you continue on course in the fine spirit of cooperation that has made EPGA the petroleum and gas unit of the executive reserve, the standout performers that they are in the National Emergency Readiness program.

Gentlemen, I also just received a piece of paper from the front office saying that President Johnson has announced a call up of some Reserves.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: I would like now to appoint a nominating committee to report at the next meeting of the Council, which will normally be scheduled in July, for officers of the Council and members of the standing committees.

As Chairman, J. Ed Warren,

W. W. Keeler,

John M. Kelly,

Harold M. McClure, Jr.,

J. Howard Marshall,

Charles H. Murphy, Jr.,

Ed Parkes,

Forrest N. Shumway,

Charles E. Spahr, and

M. A. Wright.

This concludes the agenda that has been prepared. Is there any member of the Council who has any other item of

ì	bu	S	ır.	le	S	S	

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DONNELL: I would like to thank the members of the Council for their attendance today. The Secretary reports that 68 members answered the roll call and that 12 members were represented by observers.

As you know, the press comes in after the meeting and I would like the Chairman of the two Committees which issued vital reports, Mr. Swearingen and Mr. Hamon representing Mr. McCurdy, to stand by for a few minutes.

Thank you very much for coming, and the meeting is adjourned.

(Thereupon, at 12:20 p. m. the meeting was adjourned.)